
Institutions	Base Structure	Operating Capacity	%	Emergency Capacity	%	Emergency Overflow Beds	Total Beds	%	Actual Institutional Population as of 11/30/2017	%
Ely State Prison	800	1,002	125%	1,249	156%	0	1,249	156%	1,080	135%
Florence McClure Correctional Center	904	946	105%	1,107	122%	0	1,107	122%	984	109%
High Desert State Prison	2,016	2,800	139%	3,584	178%	0	3,584	178%	3,574	177%
Lovelock Correctional Center	866	1,255	145%	1,638	189%	70	1,708	197%	1,673	193%
Northern Nevada Correctional Center	1,050	1,255	120%	1,366	130%	0	1,366	130%	1,415	135%
Southern Desert Correctional Center	1,391	1,763	127%	2,120	152%	70	2,190	157%	2,015	145%
Warm Springs Correctional Center	345	456	132%	567	164%	18	585	170%	568	165%
Subtotal Institutions	7,372	9,477	129%	11,631	158%	158	11,789	160%	11,309	153%

Facilities	Base Structure	Operating Capacity	%	Emergency Capacity	%	Emergency Overflow Beds	Total Beds	%	Actual Institutional Population as of 11/30/2017	%
Carlin Correctional Center	152	152	100%	152	100%	0	152	100%	129	85%
Casa Grande Transitional Center, Male	300	300	100%	300		0	300	100%	227	76%
Casa Grande Transitional Center, Female	100	100	100%	100	100%	0	100	100%	84	84%
Ely Conservation Camp	144	144	100%	144	100%	6	150	104%	139	97%
Humboldt Correctional Camp	150	150	100%	150	100%	0	150	100%	134	89%
Jean Conservation Camp	240	192	80%	192	80%	0	192	80%	167	70%
Northern Nevada Transitional Housing	112	112	100%	112	100%	0	112	100%	93	83%
Pioche Conservation Camp	196	196	100%	196	100%	84	280	143%	180	92%
Stewart Conservation Camp	240	240	100%	240	100%	120	360	150%	352	147%
Three Lakes Valley Correctional Center & Bootcamp	384	366	95%	366	95%	0	366	95%	325	85%
Tonopah Conservation Camp	152	152	100%	152	100%	0	152	100%	141	93%
Wells Conservation Camp	144	144	100%	144	100%	6	150	104%	107	74%
Subtotal Facilities	2,314	2,248	97%	2,248	97%	216	2,464	106%	2,078	90%
Statewide Totals	9,686	11,725	121%	13,879	143%	374	14,253	147%	13,387	138%

Base Beds by Room Type	(Dormitories & Cells @100%)						
	Males	Females	340 2362 374 1649				
Minimum Dormitory and Cell Beds	2,022	340	2362				
Medium/Close Dormitory Beds	1,275	374	1649				
Med/Close/Max Cells	5,145	530	5675				
Total Beds	8,442	1,244	9,686				

Special Purpose Medical							
Male	182						
Female	11						
Total	193						



Total Beds by Custody Level	Male	Female	Male & Female	%
Minimum	2,233	312	2,545	17.86%
Medium	6,444	930	7,374	51.74%
Close	3,985	157	4,142	29.06%
Maximum	192	0	192	1.35%
Male and Female Beds (Pages 2 and 3)	12,854	1,399	14,253	100.00%


Capacity and Custody Analysis Summary Issue #: 201712-CA-68 Beds for the Male Population


	Base Structure	On anoting Canacity	07	Emongonov Conscitu	0/	Emergency Overflow Beds	Total Beds	0/	Actual Institutional Population as of 11/30/2017	0/
Institutions	Dase Structure	Operating Capacity	%0	Emergency Capacity	% 0	Overnow beds	Total Deus	%0	11/30/2017	%
Ely State Prison	800	1,002	125%	1,249	156%	0	1,249	156%	1,080	135%
High Desert State Prison	2,016	2,800	139%	3,584	178%	0	3,584	178%	3,574	177%
Lovelock Correctional Center	866	1,255	145%	1,638	189%	70	1,708	197%	1,673	193%
Northern Nevada Correctional Center	1,050	1,255	120%	1,366	130%	0	1,366	130%	1,415	135%
Southern Desert Correctional Center	1,391	1,763	127%	2,120	152%	70	2,190	157%	2,015	145%
Warm Springs Correctional Center	345	456	132%	567	164%	18	585	170%	568	165%
Subtotal Institutions	6,468	8,531	132%	10,524	163%	158	10,682	165%	10,325	160%

Facilities	Base Structure	Operating Capacity	%	Emergency Capacity	%	Emergency Overflow Beds	Total Beds	%	Actual Institutional Population as of 11/30/2017	%
Carlin Correctional Center	152	152	100%	152	100.00%	0	152	100%	129	85%
Casa Grande Transitional Center	300	300	100%	300	100.00%	0	300	100%	227	76%
Ely Conservation Camp	144	144	100%	144	100.00%	6	150	104%	139	97%
Humboldt Correctional Camp	150	150	100%	150	100.00%	0	150	100%	134	89.33%
Northern Nevada Transitional Housing	112	112	100%	112	100.00%	0	112	100%	93	83.04%
Pioche Conservation Camp	196	196	100%	196	100.00%	84	280	143%	180	91.84%
Stewart Conservation Camp	240	240	100%	240	100.00%	120	360	150%	352	146.67%
Three Lakes Valley Correctional Center & Bootcamp	384	366	95%	366	95.31%	0	366	95%	325	84.64%
Tonopah Conservation Camp	152	152	100%	152	100.00%	0	152	100%	141	92.76%
Wells Conservation Camp	144	144	100%	144	100.00%	6	150	104%	107	74.31%
Subtotal Facilities	1,974	1,956	99%	1,956	99.09%	216	2,172	110%	1,827	92.55%

		Occupancy Rate							
Male Beds	Base (Dormitories & Cells	Emergency (Drigon Calls @ 1689/)	Above Emergency						
Base Beds by Room Type	@100%)		(Prison Cells @ 200%)						
Minimum Dormitory and Cell Beds	2,022	2,244	2,244						
Medium/Close Dormitory Beds	1,275	1,275	1,275						
Med/Close/Max Cells	5,145	8,644	10,290						
Total Beds	8.442	12,163	13.809						

Total Beds by Custody Level		%
Minimum	2,233	17.37%
Medium	6,444	50.13%
Close	3,985	31.00%
Maximum	192	1.49%
Total	12,854	100.00%





Page 2

Capacity and Custody Analysis Summary Issue #: 201712-CA-68 Beds for the Female Population


						-			Actual Institutional	
				Emergency		Emergency			Population as of	
Institutions	Base Structure	Operating Capacity	%	Capacity	%	Overflow Beds	Total Beds	%	11/30/2017	%
Florence McClure Correctional Center	904	946	105%	1,107	122%	0	1,107	122%	984	109%
Subtotal Institutions	904	946	105%	1,107	122%	0	1,107	122%	984	109%


				Emergency		Emergency			Actual Institutional Population as of	
Facilities	Base Structure	Operating Capacity	%	Capacity	%	Overflow Beds	Total Beds	%	11/30/2017	%
Casa Grande Transitional Center	100	100	100%	100	100%	0	100	100%	84	84.0%
Jean Conservation Camp	240	192	80%	192	80%	0	192	80%	167	69.6%
Subtotal Facilities	340	292	86%	292	86%	0	292	86%	251	73.8%

Statewide Totals	1 244	1 238	100%	1.399	112%	0	1,399	112%	1 235	99%
State with Totals	1,277	1,200	100 / 0	1,000	112/0	v	1,577	112/0	1,233	JJ 70

		Occupancy Rate	
Female Beds Base Beds by Room Type	Base (Dormitories & Cells @100%)	Emergency (Prison Cells @ 168%)	Above Emergency (Prison Cells @ 200%)
Minimum Dormitory Beds	340	340	340
Medium/Close Dormitory Beds	374	374	374
Med/Close Cells (Close custody is not typically double bunked)	530	890	1060
Total Beds	1244	1604	1774

Total Beds by Custody Level	Female	%
Minimum	312	22.30%
Medium	930	66.48%
Close	157	11.22%
Maximum	0	0.00%





Name Carlin Conservation Camp

Security Level: Minimum October 2017 Revision Date:


Manager/Reviewer: Associate Warden Tara Carpenter, Lt. Kennet Harroun, and Deputy Director Tristan Base Structure Unit Sub-unit Housing Type Base Design 1 A Wing Bay 50 Wing Bay 50 Wing Bay 50 Wing Cells 2 152 Total

		Оре	erating C	apacity		
Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Community Trustee
50	100%				50	
50	100%				50	
50	100%				50	
2	100%				2	
152	100%	0	0	0	152	0

Emergency Capacity											
Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Community Trustee					
50	100%				50						
50	100%				50						
50	100%				50						
2	100%				2						
152	100%	0	0	0	152	0					

	Emergency Overflow Beds											
Beds	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Community Trustee							
0												
0												
0												
			-	!								
0	0	0	0	0	0							

D.J.	0/	N/1	CI.	Mad	N./I.º	Community Trustee
Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Trustee
50	100%	0	0	0	50	0
50	100%	0	0	0	50	0
50	100%	0	0	0	50	0
2	100%	0	0	0	2	0



Name: Casa Grande Transitional Housing
Security Level: Minimum and Community Assignment
Revision Date: October 2017
Manager/Reviewer: Lt. Gray Rosenfeld and Deputy Director Tristan

			Housing			
Unit	Sub-	unit	Type	Base 1	Design	
1	1st Floor					
	A	Wing	Dorm	48		
	В	Wing	Dorm	52	100	
	2nd Floor					
	A	Wing	Dorm	24		
	A	Wing	Dorm	24		
	В	Wing	Dorm	52	100	
f						
2 ^f	1st Floor					
21	1st Floor A	Wing	Dorm	48		
21	T	Wing	Dorm Dorm	48 52	100	
21	A				100	
21	A B				100	
21	A B 2nd Floor	Wing	Dorm	52		
21	A B 2nd Floor A	Wing	Dorm	52	100	

				•		
		Oper	ating Ca	pacity		Comm.
Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Trustee
48	100%					48
52	100%					52
24	100%					24
24	100%					24
52	100%					52
48	100%					48
52	100%					52
48	100%					48
52	100%					52
400	100%	0	0	0	0	400

	Emergency Overflow Beds						Total Bed	ls (Emerge	ncv + Em	ergency (Overflow)	
					Comm.							C
Beds	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Trustee	Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Tr
0						48	100%	0	0	0	0	
0						52	100%	0	0	0	0	
	1	I	1	1			100,0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	~		
0						24	100%	0	0	0	0	
0						24	100%	0	0	0	0	
0						52	100%	0	0	0	0	
0						48 52	100%	0	0	0	0	
U						32	10070	0	U	U	U	
0						48	100%	0	0	0	0	
0						52	100%	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	400	100%	0	0	0	0	

	Designation
Gender	Population
Male	CA
Male	CA
Female	PARHU
Female	CA
Female	CA
Male	PARHU
Male	CA

Male PARHU
Male CA

Name: Ely Conservation Camp
Security Level: Minimum
Revision Date: April 2017
Manager/Reviewer: Lt. William Noah

Base Structure

Housing
Type^a
Base Design

A Wing Bay
B Wing Bay
C^b
Wing Bay
A8

Total

Total

	Operating Capacity								Emer	gency Ca	pacity		
Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Trustee	Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Trustee
48	100.00%				48		48	100.00%				48	
48	100.00%				48		48	100.00%				48	
48	100.00%				48		48	100.00%				48	
144	100.00%	0	0	0	144	0	144	100.00%	0	0	0	144	0

	Em	ergency	Overflov	v Beds			Total B	eds (Eme	rgency + I	Emergenc	y Overflo	w)
Beds	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Trustee		%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Trustee
2				2		50	104%	0	0	0	50	0
2				2		50	104%	0	0	0	50	0
2				2		50	104%	0	0	0	50	0
6	0	0	0	6	0	150	104%	0	0	0	150	0

	esignation
Gender	Population
Male	GP
Male	GP
Male	GP

Notes:

Housing units at ECC are pre-manufactured modular units.

This is the legal configuration of this camp as verified by the original drawings. However, the current layout may be different in practice.

Ely State Prison Name Security Level Revision Date: Maximum

December 2017

		Base	Structure		
¥I	G-	.h	Housing	Dogo Cor	
Unit		ıb-unit	Type	Base Ca	pacity
1	A	Wing	Cell	48	06
	В	Wing	Cell	48	96
2	A	Wing	Cell	48	
	В	Wing	Cell	48	96
	B	, ving	Cen	10	70
3	A	Wing	Cell	48	
	В	Wing	Cell	48	96
4	A	Wing	Cell	48	
	В	Wing	Cell	48	96
5	A	Wing	Cell	48	
<u> </u>	B	Wing	Cell	48	96
	D	Wing	Cell	40	90
6	A	Wing	Cell	48	
	В	Wing	Cell	48	96
7	A	Wing	Cell	48	
	В	Wing	Cell	48	96
8	A	Wing	Cell	48	
	В	Wing	Cell	48	96
	1	1		ı	
12	A	Wing	Dorm	32	32
		Totals			800

		Opera	ating Cap	acity					Emerg	gency C	apacity				Emerge	ncy Overf	low Beds			Total Bed	ls (Emer	gency +	Emerger	ıcy Overf	low)		Designation
Beds	9/	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Trustee	Beds	0/	May	Cla	Mod	Min	Comm.	Beds	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Beds	%	Max	Cls	Mod	Min	Comm.	Gender	Population
48	100%	48	CIS	Med	Min	Trustee		100%	Max 48	CIS	Med	IVIIII	Trustee	0	IVIAX	CIS	Med	IVIIII	48	100%	48	CIS	Med	IVIIII	Trustee	Male	CMU
48	100%	48					48	100%	48					0					48	100%	48					Male	CMU and HRP
40	100%	40					40	100%	40					0					40	100%	40					Male	CIVIO and FIRE
47	98%		47				71	148%		71				0					71	148%		71				Male	GP III & STG/BMU
47	98%		47				71	148%		71				0					71	148%		71				Male	SSDU
			<u> </u>																								
48	100%		48				48	100%		48				0					48	100%		48				Male	GP III and STG/BMU
48	100%	48					48	100%	48					0					48	100%	48					Male	AS/DS
																				·							
54	133%		64				79	165%		79				0					79	165%		79				Male	GP III and STG/BMU
18	100%	48					48	100%	48					0					48	100%	48					Male	AD/DS
72	149%		72				95	198%		95				0					95	198%		95				Male	GP-Level I and STG
2	149%		72				95	198%		95				0					95	198%		95				Male	GP-Level I and STG
							0																				
72	149%		72				95	198%		95				0					95	198%		95				Male	GP-Level II and STG
72	149%		72				95	198%		95				0					95	198%		95				Male	GP-Level I and STG
																							1				T
72	149%		72				94	196%		94				0					94	196%		94				Male	GP-Level II and STG
72	149%		72				94	196%		94				0					94	196%		94				Male	GP-Level II and STG
72	149%		72				94	196%		94				0					94	196%		94				Male	GP-Level II and WAA
72	149%		72				94	196%		94		-		0				+	94	196%		94			 	Male	GP-Level II and WAA
	117/0		1 /2	1	<u> </u>			17070		71					I	l	I			17070		1 / 7	1	I		Iviale	of Level II and WAA
32	100%				32		32	100%				32		0				0	32	100%	0	0	0	32	0	Male	CLN
				Τ						I		1				I								Ι			
02	125%	192	778	0	32	0	1249	156%	192	1025	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	1249	156%	192	1025	0	32	0		

Notes:

The designation of Unit 2 was changed from Walk Alike Alone to General Population Level III, Security Threat Group, and Social Skills Development. The A Wing also incorporates Behavior Modification. This unit now double bunks select beds with the emergency capacity increasing from 48 to 71 per wing. Specifically, Cells 1-25 have 23 double bunks, with one single bunk reserved for the handicapped; and Cells 25-48 have 24 single beds for a total of 71. It is assumed that if one cell per wing is reserved for ADA individuals, that the operating capacity is reduced by 1 single bed per wing. The designation of Unit 3 changed from Special Management Transitional Housing to General Population Level III, Security Threat Group, and Administrative/Disciplinary Segregation. The A Wing also incorporates Behavior Modification inmates. The emergency capacity of this unit did not change. The designation of Unit 4 changed from Behavior Modification and Disciplinary Segregation to General Population Level III, Security Threat Group, and Administrative/Disciplinary Segregation. Bed numbers 1-24 and 25-32 in the A Wing are now double bunked, increasing the emergency capacity from 48 to 79. There is one handicapped cell in each of the wings of Units 5 and 6 and two handicapped cells in each of the wings of Unit 7 and 8. These cells cannot be double bunked. The designation of Unit 8 was changed from General Population Level III and Security Threat Group to General Population Level II and Walk Alike Alone.

Name: FMWCC
Security Level: Medium
Revision Date: December 2

Revision Date: Manager/Reviewer:	December 2017 CCSIII William R	eubart per AW Gabr	iela Garcia																					
Training 1/10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 1	Base Structure	, and the second second				Operating	g Capacity					Emergency Capacit	·V		Emerg	gency Overfl	low Beds	To	otal Beds (Emerg	ency + Emerge	ency Overflo	ow)		Designation
Unit Sub unit	Housing Type	Base Capacity		Beds	%	Max	Close	Med	Min	Beds	%	Max Close	Med Min	Beds		Close	Med Min	Beds		Iax Close		Min	Gender	Population
1 A Wing	Dorm/Pod	10		10	100%			10		10	100%		10	0				10	100%		10			GP
	Dorm	60		60	100%			60		60	100%		60	0				60	100%		60			GP
B Wing	Dorm/Pod	10		10	100%			10		10	100%		10	0				10	100%		10			GP
	Dorm	64		64	100%			64		64	100%		64	0				64	100%		64			GP
C Wing	Cell	19		29	153%			29		38	200%		38	0				38	200%		38		Female	GP
																			1000					
D Wing	Cell	20	<u> </u>	30	150%			30		40	200%		40	0				40	200%		40		Female	GP
E Wing	Cell	20	<u> </u>	30	150%			30	-	40	200%		40	0				40	200%		40		Famala	GP
E Willig	Cell	20	-	30	130%			30	 	40	200%		40	0				40	200%		40		Female	GP
F Wing	Cell	19		29	150%			29	 	38	200%		38	0				38	200%		38		Female	GP
1 Wing	Cen	222			13070				 	30	20070		30					30	20070		30		Tomate	
							-																	
3 A Wing	Cell	10 10		15	150%				15	20	200%		20	0				20	200%			20	Female	GP
4 G Wing	Cell	19		29	150%			29		38	200%		38	0				38	200%	0	38	0		MHSO
H Wing	Cell	20		20	100%		20			20	100%	20		0				20	100%	20		0		SEG/AS
I Wing	Cell	20		20	100%		20			20	100%	20		0				20	100%	20		0		SEG/AS
J Wing	Cell	19 78		19	100%		19			19	100%	19		0				19	100%	19		0	Female	SEG/AS
5 IZ XX	G 11	10	1 —	20	1500/			20		20	2000/		20					20	2000/		20		ъ 1	CD
5 K Wing	Cell	19	l	29	150%			29 30		38	200%		38 40	0				38	200%		38			GP GP
L Wing M Wing	Cell Cell	20 20	 	30	150% 150%			30		40	200%		40	0	_			40	200%		40			GP
N Wing		19 156		29	150%			29	 	38	200%		38	0				38	200%		38			DGPD
1 Wing	CCII	1) 130		2)	13070				 	30	20070		30					30	20070		30		Terriale	DOLD
																				I				
7 O Wing	Cell	25		38	150%			38		49	196%		49	0				49	196%		49		Female	GP
P Wing	Cell	25 98		38	150%			38		49	196%		49	0				49	196%		49		Female	GP
8 Q Wing	Cell	25		38	150%			38		49	196%		49	0				49	196%		49			GP
R Wing	Cell	25 50		38	150%			38		49	196%		49	0				49	196%		49		Female	GP
O A 1777	M. 1.1 /D	120	1 —	120	1000/			100		120	1000/		120		1			120	1000/		100		П 1	TC
9 A Wing	Modular/Dorm	120		120	100%			120		120	100%		120	0				120	100%		120		Female	TC GP/RE
B Wing	Modular/Dorm	120 240		120	100%			120		120	100%		120	U				120	100%		120		Female	UF/KE
10 S Wing	Cell	25] [38	150%		38			49	196%	49		0				49	196%	49			Female	INTK
T Wing		25 50		38	150%		38		 	49	196%	49		0	+			49	196%	49	+			INTK
	, C.L.				10070						17070	1 17							27070	17			<u> </u>	
,	Total	904		946	105%	0	134	797	15	1107	122%	0 157	930 20	0	0	0	0 0	1107	122%	0 157	930	20		
		1																						

Notes:

The H through J Wings in Unit 4 house segregation; thus, their cells are single bunked.

In each of Units 7, 8, and 10 there are two beds set aside to accommodate ADA individuals, dialysis, and nursing mothers.

Humboldt Conservation Camp Name:

Security Level: Minimum

Revision Date: October 2017

Manager/Reviewer: Dwayne Deal and Lt. Daniel Hermsmeier

		В	ase Structure		
Unit	Sub-	Unit	Housing Type	Base C	apacity
1	A	Wing	Bay	54	
	В	Wing	Bay	36	
	В	Wing	Bay	4	
	С	Wing	Bay	56	150

		Opera	ating Cap	pacity		
Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	CA
54	100.0%				54	
36	100.0%				36	
4	100.0%				4	
56	100.0%				56	
150	100.0%	0	0	0	150	0

			~	•				_		o		
		Emer	gency Ca	pacity				Em	ergency	Overflow	v Beds	
Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	CA	Beds	Max	Cls	Med	Min	CA
54	100.0%				54		0					
36	100.0%				36		0					
4	100.0%				4		0					
56	100.0%				56		0					
150	100.0%	0	0	0	150	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
150												

	Total B	eds (Eme	rgency + I	Emergenc	y Overflov	v)
Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	CA
54	100%	0	0	0	54	0
36	100%	0	0	0	36	0
4	100%	0	0	0	4	0
56	100%	0	0	0	56	0
150	100%	0	0	0	150	0

Des	ignation
Gender	Population
Male	GP
Male Male	_
	GP

Housing units at HCC are Alaskan modules, bay type. In the B Wing, there is a four-person cube/bay area.

Name: High Desert State Prison

Security Level: Medium

Revision Date: December 2017

Manager: Associate Warden Jennifer Nash

Manager:	Associate Warden Jennifer Nash					
	Base Structure	Operating Capacity	Emergency Capacity	Emergency Overflow Beds	Total Beds (Emergency + Emergency Overflow)	Designation
	Housing					
Unit	Sub-unit Type Base Design	Beds % Max Cls Med Min CA		Beds Max Cls Med Min CA	Beds % Max Cls Med Min	Gender Population
l A R	Wing Cell 42 Wing Cell 42	63 150% 63 63 150% 63	84 200% 84 84 200% 84	0 0	84 200% 0 84 0 0 84 200% 0 84 0 0	Male OR/Classification Male OR/Classification
C	Wing Cell 42	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 84 0 0	Male OR/Classification
D	Wing Cell 42 168	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 84 0 0	Male OR/Classification
2 A	Wing Cell 42	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level III
В	Wing Cell 42	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level III
C	Wing Cell 42	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level III
	Wing Cell 42 168	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level III
3 Δ	Wing Cell 42	42 100% 42	42 100% 42		42 100% 0 42 0 0	Male SEG
В	Wing Cell 42	42 100% 42	42 100% 42	0	42 100% 0 42 0 0	Male SEG
C	Wing Cell 42	42 100% 42	42 100% 42	0	42 100% 0 42 0 0	Male SEG
D	Wing Cell 42 168	42 100% 42	42 100% 42	0	42 100% 0 42 0 0	Male SEG
4 A	Wing Cell 42	42 100% 42	42 100% 42		42 100% 0 42 0 0	Male SEG
B	Wing Cell 42	42 100% 42	42 100% 42		42 100% 0 42 0 0	Male SEG
D	Wing Cell 42 Wing Cell 42 168	42 100% 42 42 100% 42	42 100% 42 42 100% 42	0 0	42 100% 0 42 0 0 42 100% 0 42 0 0	Male SEG Male SEG
D	vving Cen 42 108	+2 10070 42	10070 42	<u> </u>	1 2 10070 U 42 U U	INTAIC SEU
5 A	Wing Cell 42	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level II
В	Wing Cell 42	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level II
С	Wing Cell 42	42 100% 42	42 100% 42	0	42 100% 0 42 0	Male BMU
D	Wing Cell 42 168	42 100% 42	42 100% 42	0	42 100% 0 42 0	Male BMU/SEG
6 A	Wing Cell 42	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level III
B	Wing Cell 42	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level III
C	Wing Cell 42	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level III
D	Wing Cell 42 168	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level III
	TYV G II 10	60 1500				
/ A	Wing Cell 42 Wing Cell 42	63 150% 63 63 150% 63	84 200% 84 84 200% 84	0 0	84 200% 0 0 84 0 84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level I Male GP Level I
С	Wing Cell 42	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level I
D	Wing Cell 42 168	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level I
8 A	Wing Cell 42	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level I
В	Wing Cell 42	63 150% 63	84 200% 84	0 0	84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level I
D	Wing Cell 42 Wing Cell 42 168	63 150% 63 63 150% 63	84 200% 84 84 200% 84	0	84 200% 0 0 84 0 84 200% 0 0 84 0	Male GP Level I Male GP Level I
		02 13070	01 20070			
9 A	Wing Cell 28	28 100% 28	28 100% 28	0	28 100% 0 0 28 0	Male ECU I (mentally III)
В	Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42	56 200% 56	0 0	56 200% 0 56 0 56 200% 0 56 0	Male PSU I Male PSU I
D	Wing Cell 28 Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42 42 150% 42	56 200% 56 56 200% 56		56 200% 0 56 0 56 200% 0 56 0	Male PSU I Male PSU I
E	Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0	Male PSU I
F	Wing Cell 28 168	42 150% 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0	Male PSU I
10	Wr C u co	40 1500/	56 2000/		56 2000	M 1 DOLL W
10 A	Wing Cell 28 Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42 42 150% 42	56 200% 56 56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0 0 56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU II Male PSU II
C	Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU II
D	Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU II
Е	Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU II
F	Wing Cell 28 168	42 150% 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU II
11 A	Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU II
B	Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU II
C	Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU II
D	Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU II
E	Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU II
F	Wing Cell 28 168	42 150% 42	56 200% 56		56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU II
12 A	Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU III
В	Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU III
C	Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU III
D	Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU III
E	Wing Cell 28	42 150% 42	56 200% 56		56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU III
F	Wing Cell 28 168	42 150% 42	56 200% 56	0	56 200% 0 56 0 0	Male PSU III
	Total 2016	2800 139% 0 1638 1162 0 0	3584 178% 0 2044 1540 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	3584 178% 0 2044 1540 0	
-						

Name: Jean Conservation Camp
Security Level: Minimum
Revision Date: December 2017
Manager/Reviewer: Lt. Celeste Kinanewells

		Base	Structure		
Unit	Sul	b-Unit	Housing Type	Base (Capacity
1	A	Wing	Bay	48	
2	A	Wing	Bay	48	
3	A	Wing	Bay	48	
4	A	Wing	Bay	48	
	A	Wing	Bay	48	240

				Opei	rating Ca _l	pacity		
Capacity		Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Trustee
		48	100%				48	
		0	0%				0	
		48	100%				48	
		48	100%				48	
240		48	100%				48	
	•							
240		192		0	0	0	192	
		240	48 0 48 48 48 48 48	48 100% 0 0% 48 100% 48 100% 48 100% 48 100%	Beds % Max 48 100% 0 0% 48 100% 48 100% 48 100% 48 100% 48 100%	Beds % Max Cls 48 100% 0 0% 48 100% 48 100% 48 100% 48 100%	48 100% 0 0% 48 100% 48 100% 48 100% 48 100%	Beds % Max Cls Med Min 48 100% 48 0 0 0 48 100% 48 <td< td=""></td<>

Emergency Capacity											
gency Ca	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Trustee					
48	100%				48						
0	0%				0						
48	100%				48						
48	100%				48						
48	100%				48						
		-		-	-						
192		0	0	0	192						

	Emergency Overflow Beds												
Beds	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Trustee								
0													
0													
0													
0													
0													
0	0	0	0	0	0								

	Total Bed	s (Emerg	ency + En	Total Beds (Emergency + Emergency Overflow)												
Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Trustee										
48	100%	0	0	0	48	0										
0	0%	0	0	0	0	0										
48	100%	0	0	0	48	0										
48	100%	0	0	0	48	0										
48	100%	0	0	0	48	0										
192	80%	0	0	0	192	0										

Desig	nation
Gender	Population
Female	GP

Notes: This camp is undergoing maintenance and repair work. Wings are being closed one at the time for approximately one month. Unit 2 is being repaired during the month of December. Individuals were moved from Unit 2 to Unit 3 until the repairs are complete

Name: Lovelock Correctional Center Security Level: Medium

Revision Date: November 2017

Manager/Reviewer: Deputy Director Thomas and Dwayne Daze

Manager	/Reviewer:	Deputy D	Director Thoma	s and Dw	ayne Daze												
		Base	Structure				C	perating	Capacit	y			E	mergency	y Capaci	ty	
			Housing														
Unit	S	ub-unit	Type		Capacity	Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min
1	A	Wing	Cell	84		126	150%			126		168	200%			168	
				10													
	В	Wing	Cell	84	168	126	150%			126		168	200%			168	
				10													
2	A	Wing	Cell	84		126	150%			126		168	200%			168	
				10													
	В	Wing	Cell	84	168	126	150%			126		168	200%			168	
				10													
3	A	Wing	Cell	84		126	150%		126			168	200%		168		
3	A	vvilig	Cell	10		120	130%		120			100	20076		100		
	В	Wing	Cell	84	168	126	150%		126			168	200%		168		
4	A	Wing	Cell	84		84	100%		84			84	100%		84		
	В	Wing	Cell	84	168	126	150%			126		168	200%			168	
				10													
5	A West	Wing	Cell	42		63	150%			63		84	200%			84	
	A East	Wing	Cell	42	84	63	150%			63		84	200%			84	
6	A	Wing	Cell	84	84	126	150%			126		168	200%			168	
				10													
0	Δ	Wing	Cell	16	16	22	138%				22	22	138%				22
8	A	Wing	Cell	16	16	22	138%				22	22	138%				
9	A	Wing	Cell	10	10	15	150%			15		20	200%			20	
		Totals			866	1255	145%	0	336	897	22	1638	189%	0	420	1196	22

	Emerge	ncy Overf	low Beds		Total Beds (Emergency + Emergency Overfle							
Beds	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med			
Deas	172021		Ivica	17111	168	200%	0	0	168			
10			10		10	20070			10	H		
					168	200%	0	0	168	r		
10			10		10				10			
					168	200%	0	0	168	L		
10			10		10				10			
					168	200%	0	0	168			
10			10		10				10			
					168	200%	0	168	0	l		
10		10			10		0	10	0			
					168	200%	0	168	0			
					84	100%	0	84	0	H		
					168	200%	0	0	168	Г		
10			10		10		0	0	10			
					84	200%	0	0	84			
					84	200%	0	0	84			
					168	200%	0	0	168			
10			10		10		0	0	10			
					22	138%	0	0	0			
					20	200%	0	0	20	L		
70	0	10	60	0	1708	197%	0	430	1256			

	Designation
Gender	Designatio
Male	GP Level I
Male	Emergency Over
Male	GP Level I
Male	Emergency Over
Male	GP Level I
Male	Emergency Over
Male	GP Level I
Male	Emergency Over
Male	PS
Male	Emergency Ove
Male	PS
Male	AS/DS
Male	GP Level II
Male	Emergency Ove
Male	OR/INTK
Male	SLP
Male	GP Level I
Male	Emergency Over
Male	GRND/MIN WO
Male	СҮР

Notes:

In each wing of Units 1 through 6, one cell has been reserved for ill inmates (A beds 21 and 22). However, these cells have been progressively double bunked as the B bed was added to each medical cell. The bed configuration, therefore, was revised from 2x1 to 2x2. This change increased the total capacity of each wing from 166 to 168. Unit five has one wing divided into two types of populations. For this unit the B Bed was added to each, the West and East sides, increasing the capacity from 166 to 168.

Name Northern Nevada Correctional Center

Security Level Medium
Revision Date: October 2017

Manager/Reviewer: David Tristan, Warden Isidro Baca, Associate Warden Brian Ward, and Caseworker III Shannon Moyle. **Total Beds (Emergency + Emergency Overflow) Base Structure Emergency Overflow Beds** Designation **Operating Capacity Emergency Capacity** Gender Population Max Cls Med Min % Max Cls Med Min Beds % Cls Med Min Beds Max Cls Med Min Sub-unit Housing Type Base Capacity Beds Beds Male Wing Dorm 48 48 100% 48 48 100% 48 0 48 100% 0 0 48 SSLP Wing Male 48 48 48 48 0 48 SSLP 48 100% 0 100% 0 Wing Dorm Wing 0 0 SSLP Dorm 0 SSLP Wing 0 Dorm Male 0 48 48 Wing 48 48 48 100% 48 SSLP Dorm 0 0 0 SSLP Wing Dorm 0 0 Male 144 SSLP Wing Dorm 36 36 36 36 36 GP Level II/RE Wing 36 36 100% 100% 0 0 0 Dorm 100% Male Wing 12 12 100% 12 12 100% 12 0 12 100% 0 12 0 GP Level II/RE Dorm 0 Wing GP Level II/RE 48 48 0 GP Level II/RE 48 48 100% 48 48 100% 48 0 100% 0 0 Wing Dorm 0 0 GP Level II/RE Wing Dorm 0 48 48 0 GP Level II/RE Wing Dorm 48 100% 48 100% 48 Wing 0 0 Male 144 GP Level II/RE Dorm 100% 36 M/C 36 36 36 36 36 0 0 0 36 100% 100% Wing Dorm 12 12 12 Wing 12 0 M/C Dorm 12 100% 12 100% 0 12 100% 0 0 Wing Dorm 0 0 0 M/C 100% 48 48 48 48 48 0 48 0 0 48 GP Level II Wing Dorm 100% 100% Wing 0 0 0 GP Level II Dorm 48 100% 48 48 100% 48 0 48 100% 0 0 48 0 GP Level II Wing Dorm 48 Male Wing 144 0 0 0 0 0 GP Level II Dorm Wing Cell 30 45 150% 45 60 200% 60 0 60 200% 0 0 60 0 GP Level III Wing 30 45 60 60 0 60 60 0 Cell 45 150% 200% 200% GP Level III 30 45 GP Level III 45 150% 200% 200% Wing 30 150% 45 60 60 60 GP Level II Wing Male GP Level II Wing Wing 60 0 Cell 30 45 150% 45 200% 60 60 200% 60 0 0 0 Cell 60 60 30 90 150% 45 200% 60 60 0 GP Level II 45 200% Cell 150% 23 30 30 Male SCU Wing 15 23 200% 30 0 200% 0 30 0 0 30 Male SCU 23 30 Wing Cell 30 150% 23 200% 30 30 15 200% 0 0 100% 84 Male Male Cell 84 84 INTK/DS/MHU 84 100% 100% 84 Wing Wing Cell 84 84 84 100% AS 84 84 100% 100% 0 84 168 0 0 1st Floor COED 100% Dorm 36 36 36 36 Wing 36 100% 36 100% 0 36 Wing 8 COED Dorm 100% 8 100% 0 0 8 8 8 100% 0 8 0 Cell 10 10 COED Wing 10 100% 10 100% 10 100% 10 2nd Floor 16 COED RMF/MHU 150% 12 0 0 0 Wing 12 16 200% Cell 8 200% 0 16 Wing 28 RMF/MHU Cell 28 100% 28 0 28 100% 28 28 28 COED 100% 0 Wing Cell 150% 8 4 6 6 200% 8 200% COED RMF/MHU 0 8 120 120 0 120 120 120 GP Level I Modular 120 120 100% 120 Wing 100% 100% 0 0 0 120 GP Level I Wing Modular 120 240 100% 120 120 120 0 120 100% 100% 0 1366 1092 0 Totals 1050 1255 120% 0 268 987 0 1366 0 274 1092 0 0 0 274 130% 0 130%

Pursuant to "Stickney" case law, the capacity of Units 1-3 cannot exceed 172 each. This case allows for the capacities to be increased to 220 if one additional roving officer is added per shit to each unit.

Name: Northern Nevada Transitional Housing
Security Level: Minimum and Community Trustee
Revision Date: September 2017
Manager/Reviewer: Lt. Stacy Branham and Deputy Tristan

Base Structure

Unit
Sub-Unit
Housing Type
Base Capacity

1
A
Wing
Cell
96

2
A
Wing
Cell
16
112

Total

		Ope	rating Capaci	ty		
Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm Trustee
96	100%				4	92
16	100%					16
112	100%	0	0	0	4	108

		Eme	rgency Capac	ity		
Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Trustee
96	100%				4	92
16	100%					16
112	100%	0	0	0	4	108

Emergency Overflow Beds						Total Beds (Emergency + Emergency Overflow)						
Beds	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Trustee	Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Trustee
0						96	100%	0	0	0	4	92
0						16	100%	0	0	0	0	16
0	0	0	0	0	0	112	100%	0	0	0	4	108

_		
	Desig	gnation
i. e	Gender	Population
	Male	GP
	Males	GP

Notes:

This transitional house opens in October 2015 to accommodate offenders that are in the process of re-entering the community.

Beds A-1-A through A-1-D are minimum custody beds.

Pioche Conservation Camp Name Security Level Minimum December 2017 Revision Date: Manager/Reviewer:

Lt. Monte Hansen

			Base Structure					0	perating Ca	pacity					Em	ergency Ca	apacity		
Unit	\$	Su-unit	Housing Type	Base	e Design	Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Assignment.	Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Assignment.
1	A	Wing	Bay	56	56	56	100%				56		56					56	
			Bay	28															
	В	Wing	Bay	56	56	56	100%				56		56					56	
			Bay	28															
	C	Wing	Bay	56	56	56	100%				56		56					56	
			Bay	28															
	D	Wing	Modular	14	14	14	100%				14		14					14	
	Е	Wing	Modular	14	14	14	100%				14		14					14	
-		-					-		_		•	-		-			•		-
		Total			196	196	100%	0	0	0	196	0	196		0	0	0	196	0

		Emergeno	cy Overflov	v Beds			Total Be	ds (Emer	gency + l	Emergen	cy Overfl
	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Assignment.	Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min
						56	100%	0	0	0	56
				28		28		0	0	0	28
						56	100%	0	0	0	56
				28		28		0	0	0	28
						56	100%	0	0	0	56
				28		28		0	0	0	28
						14	100%	0	0	0	14
				0		0		0	0	0	0
						14	100%	0	0	0	14
Ī				0		0		0	0	0	0

	Designation
Gender	Population
Male	GP
Male	Emergency Over
Male	GP
Male	Emergency Over
Male	GP
Male	Emergency Over
Male	GP
Male	Emergency Over
Male	GP
Male	Emergency Over

Housing units at PCC are mobile homes and contractor built, wood frame structures designed on the Tonopah prototype.

As of December 2017, this camp has 28 Emergency Overflow beds in each bay, for a total of 84.

Name: Stewart Conservation Camp
Security Level: Minimum
Revision Date: October 2017

			Base Structure					Opera	ting Capac	ity					Emerg	ency Capac	ity				En	nergency Overflow	Beds		7	Total Bed	s (Emerg	ency + E	mergenc	y Overflov	v)		Designation
T •4	a 1	1 TT *4	II	D. (ъ. 1	0/	N.	- CI		NO.	Comm.	D 1	0/	20	CII.	N/ 1	25	Comm.	D 1	N		7.5	Comm.	D 1	0/	W		W 1	3.51	Comm.		D 10
$\frac{\partial \mathbf{m} \mathbf{t}}{1} \Delta$		ub-Unit Wing	Housing Type Pod	48	Capacity 48	Beds 48	100%	Max	Cls	Med	48	Trustee	Beds 48	100%	Max	Cls	Med	48	Trustee	Beds	Max	Cls Med	Min	Trustee	Beds 48	100%	O	O	Nied	48	Trustee	Gender Male	Population GP
1 7	7	Willig	Pod	24	70	10	10070				1 10		40	10070				10					24		24	10070	0	0	0	24	0	Male	Emergency Overflow
																											-						
2 A	A ,	Wing	Pod	48	48	48	100%				48		48	100%				48		0					48	100%	0	0	0	48	0	Male	GP
			Pod	24																			24		24		0	0	0	24	0	Male	Emergency Overflow
3 A	A '	Wing	Pod	48	48	48	100%				48		48	100%				48		0					48	100%	0	0	0	48	0	Male	GP
_			Pod	24																			24		24		0	0	0	24	0	Male	Emergency Overflov
1 Δ	<u> </u>	Wing	Pod	48	48	48	100%				48		48	100%				48		0					48	100%	0	0	0	48	0	Male	GP
T	1	vv ing	Pod	24			10070	+			+0		+0	10070				1 70					24		24	10070	0	0	0	24	0	Male	Emergency Overflow
			1 5 4																													171010	
5 A	A ,	Wing	Pod	48	48	48	100%				48		48	100%				48		0					48	100%	0	0	0	48	0	Male	GP
			Pod	24																			24		24		0	0	0	24	0	Male	Emergency Overflow

Notes:

Housing units at SCC are concrete block, with a specific design for the camp.

Name Southern Desert Correctional Center

Security Level: Medium
Revision Date: December 2017
Manager/Reviewer: Frank Dreesen

	1	Base Structure				Operati	ng Capacit	y		Er	nergency	y Capacity		H	mergency	y Overflov	w Beds			Total Bed	ls (Emergency	y + Emergency	y Overflow)			Designation
nit	Sub-unit	Housing Type	Base Design		Beds	% Ma	x Cls	Med Min	Beds	%	Max	Cls M	ed Min	Beds	Max	Cls	Med Min		Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Gender	Population
1 A	Wing	Cell	34		51	150%		51	68	200%		6	58	0				ПГ	68	200%			68		Male	GP Level II
В	Wing	Cell	34		51	150%		51	68	200%		6		0					68	200%			68	+	Male	GP Level II
C	Wing	Cell	34	102	51	150%		51	68	200%		6		0					68	200%			68		Male	GP Level II
D			10											10			10		10				10		Male	Emergency Overflo
2 A	Wing	Cell	34		51	150%		51	68	200%		6	18	0					68	200%			68		Male	GP Level II
B R	Wing	Cell	34		51	150%		51	68	200%			18	0				\dashv	68	200%			68	+	Male	GP Level II
C	Wing	Cell	34	102	51	150%		51	68	200%		6		0				-	68	200%			68	+	Male	GP Level II
D	, , , mg	Cen	10	102	31	13070		31	00	20070				10			10		10	20070			10		Male	Emergency Overfl
3 Δ	Wing	Cell	34		51	150%		51	68	200%		6	18	0					68	200%			68		Male	GP Level I
R	Wing	Cell	34		51	150%		51	68	200%		6		0		-		\dashv \vdash	68	200%		+	68	+	Male	GP Level I
С	Wing	Cell	34	102	51	150%		51	68	200%		6		0				-	68	200%			68	+	Male	GP Level I
D	Willig	Cen	10	102	31	13070		31	00	20070				10			10		10	20070			10		Male	Emergency Overf
	***	C II	24		7.1	1500/		51	60	2000/										2000/			60		N 1	CD I II
l A	Wing	Cell	34		51	150%		51	68	200%		6		0				_	68	200%			68		Male	GP Level I
В	Wing	Cell	34	102	51	150%		51	68	200%			8	0				_	68	200%			68		Male	GP Level I
D	Wing	Cell	34	102	51	150%		51	68	200%		6	08	10			10	$\dashv \vdash$	68 10	200%			68 10		Male Male	GP Level I Emergency Overf
A	Wing	Cell	34		51	150%		51	68	200%		6	8	0					68	200%			68		Male	GP Level III
В	Wing	Cell	34		51	150%		51	68	200%		6	8	0					68	200%			68		Male	GP Level III
C	Wing	Cell	34	102	51	150%		51	68	200%		6	8	0					68	200%			68		Male	GP Level III
D			10											10			10		10				10		Male	Emergency Overf
A	Wing	Cell	34		51	150%		51	68	200%		6	58	0					68	200%			68		Male	GP Level III
В	Wing	Cell	34		51	150%		51	68	200%		6		0					68	200%			68		Male	GP Level III
С	Wing	Cell	34	102	51	150%		51	68	200%		6	58	0					68	200%			68		Male	GP Level III
D			10											10			10		10				10		Male	Emergency Over
A	Wing	Cell	34		51	150%		51	68	200%		6	i8	0				$\dashv \vdash$	68	200%			68		Male	BMU
В	Wing	Cell	34		51	150%		51	68	200%			58	0					68	200%			68		Male	BMU
C	Wing	Cell	34	102	51	150%		51	68	200%		6	58	0					68	200%			68		Male	BMU
D			10											10			10		10				10		Male	Emergency Overl
A	Wing-Low	er Cell	30		45	150%	45		45	150%		45		0					45	150%		45			Male	AS & DS
A	Wing_Upp		32		32	100%	32		32	100%		32		0					32	100%		32			Male	AS
В	Wing-Low		36		36	100%	36		36	100%		36		0					36	100%		36			Male	AS
В	Wing-Upp		14		14	100%	14		14	100%		14		0					14	100%		14			Male	AS
В	Wing-Upp		22		22	100%	22		22	100%		22		0					22	100%		22			Male	AS
C	Wing-Low		31		31	100%	31		31	100%		31		0					31	100%		31			Male	AS
С	Wing-Upp	er Cell	32	197	32	100%	32		32	100%		32		0					32	100%		32			Male	AS
. A	Wing	Modular/Dorm	120		120	100%		120	120	100%		13	20	0					120	100%			120		Male	TC
D	Wing	Modular/Dorm		240	120	100%		120	120	100%			20	0				-	120	100%			120	+	Male	GP Level I/RE

Name Southern Desert Correctional Center

Security Level: Medium
Revision Date: December 2017
Manager/Paris average Experts Dresser

Manager/Reviewer: Frank Dreesen Base Structure **Operating Capacity Emergency Capacity Emergency Overflow Beds** Total Beds (Emergency + Emergency Overflow) Designation Beds Max Cls Med Min Sub-unit **Housing Type** Beds % Max Cls Med Min Beds % Max Cls Med Min Med Min Unit **Base Design** Beds Gender Population Max Cls Modular/Dorm 120 120 120 100% 100% Male Wing 120 120 120 100% 120 GP Level I 12 A 0 Wing Modular/Dorm 120 240 120 100% 120 120 100% 120 120 100% 120 Male GP Level I 1763 | 127% | 0 | 212 | 1551 | 0 2120 0 212 1908 0 Total 1391 70 0 0 70 0 2190 157% 212 1978

Notes:

The designation of Unit 7 was changed from General Population Level I to Behavior Modification in August 2017. However, this notation was not included in the corresponding edition of this report.

Name: Tonopah Conservation Camp


Security Level: Minimum
Revision Date: January 2015
Manager/Reviewer: Lt. Tom Stubbs

Base Structure Operating Capacity UnitSub-UnitHousing TypeBase Design1AWingBay56 Beds Max Cls Med Min Trustee Wing Bay
Wing Bay
Wing Bay 56 56 40 40 40 56 152 56 56 152 0 0 0 152 Total 152

			y Capacity		Comm.
Beds	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Trustee
56				56	
40				40	
56				56	
				0	
152	0	0	0	152	0

Beds	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm Trustee
0					
0					
0					
0	0	0	0	0	0

	Total Bed	s (Emerg	ency + E	mergenc	y Overflo	w)
Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	Comm. Trustee
56	100%	0	0	0	56	0
40	100%	0	0	0	40	0
56	100%	0	0	0	56	0
152	100%	0	0	0	152	0



Name: Three Lakes Valley
Security Level: Minimum
Revision Date: October 2017

Reviewed by: Lieutenant Sha'Kayla Saint Mary (Bootcamp Manager) and Sargeant Timothy Knatz

]	Base Structure						Ope	rating C	apacity		
Unit		Sub-Unit	Housing Type	Bas	se Design		Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	CA
2	A	Wing	Dorm	48			48	100%				48	
	В	Wing	Dorm	48			30	63%				30	
	C	Wing	Dorm	48			48	100%				48	
	D	Wing	Dorm	48	192	-	48	100%				48	
3	A	Wing	Bay	48			48	100%				48	
	В	Wing	Bay	48			48	100%				48	
	C	Wing	Bay	48			48	100%				48	
	D	Wing	Bay	48	192		48	100%				48	
		То	tal		384]	366	95%	0	0	0	366	0

	Dille	gency (Capacity	y	
%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	CA
100%				48	
63%				30	
100%				48	
100%				48	
100%				48	
100%				48	
100%				48	
100%				48	
	100% 63% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 63% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 63% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 63% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 48 63% 30 100% 48 100% 48 100% 48 100% 48 100% 48 100% 48

	Emerge	ency O	verflow	Beds		To	tal Beds	(Emerg	gency +	Emerge	ncy Ove	rflow)
ds	Max	Cls	Med	Min	CA	Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min	CA
0						48	100%				48	
0						30	63%				30	
0						48	100%				48	
0						48	100%				48	
0						48	100%				48	
0						48	100%				48	
0						48	100%				48	
0						48	100%				48	·
0	0	0	0	0	0	366	95%	0	0	0	366	0

I	Designation
Gender	Population
Male	GP
Male	BC
Male	BC
Male	GP
Male	CUL
Male	GRND
Male	NDF/Other
Male	NDF/Other

Name: Wells Conservation Camp
Security Level: Minimum
Revision Date: September 2017
Manager/Reviewer: Ms. Lt. April Witter and Sgt. Downey

Base Structure **Operating Capacity** Comm. Unit Sub-Unit Housing Type Beds % Max Cls Med Min Trustee **Base Design** 48 100% A Wing Bays 48 48 1 48 100% Wing 48 48 C Wing 48 144 48 100% Bay 48 144 100% 0 0 0 144 0 144 Total

 Emergency Capacity

 Beds
 %
 Max
 Cls
 Med
 Min
 Trustee

 48
 100%
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48
 48</t

Emergency Overflow Beds Total Beds (Emergency + Emergency Overflow) Beds % Max Cls Med Min Trustee Comm. Beds Max Cls Med Min Trustee 50 | 104% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 50 104% 0 0 0 50 0 0 0 50 50 | 104% | 2 2 150 104% 0 0 0 150 0 6 0 0 0 6

DesignationGenderPopulationMaleGPMaleGPMaleGP

Notes:

Housing units at WCC are pre-manufactured trailer units.

This is the official configuration of the building as shown in the original drawings provided by Public Works Board.

Warm Springs Correctional Center Name: Security Level: Medium Revision Date: December 2017

Manager/Reviewer: Associate Warden Lisa Walsh

Wing

Wing

Base Structure								
Unit		Sub-unit	Housing Type	Base	e Design			
1	A	Wing	Dorm	76				
	В	Wing	Dorm	47	123			
	В	Wing	Dorms	8				

		Operating	g Capacity	y			
Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min		Bed
76	100%			76			76
47	100%			40	7		47
27	150%			27			36
27	150%			27			36
27	150%			27			36
						1	

456 132% 0 0 449 7

Emergency Capacity										
%	Max	Cls	Med	Min						
100%			76							
100%			40	7						
200%			36							
	% 100% 100% 200% 200%	% Max 100% 100% 200% 200%	% Max Cls 100% 100% 200% 200%	% Max Cls Med 100% 76 100% 40 200% 36 200% 36 36 36						

567 164% 0 0 560

0

0

0

0

	Emergency Overflow Beds					Total Beds (Emergency + Emergency Over					
eds	Max	Cls	Med	Min		Beds	%	Max	Cls	Med	Min
0					-	76	100%			76	0
0						47	100%			40	7
8			8			8	100%			8	0

36 200%

36 200%

36 200%

0

0

	Designation
Gender	Population
Male	RE
Male	VET & GRND
Male	Emergency Overflow

	C	Activity Room	Day Room	10	
4	A	Wing (NO)	Cell	42	
	A	Wing (SO)	Cell	42	
	В	Wing (NO)	Cell	42	
	В	Wing (SO)	Cell	42	168
	C	Activity Room	Day Room	10	

Total

Cell

Cell

Activity Room Day Room 6

18

18

54

345

21	15070		21	l		20070		50	
63	150%		63		84	200%		84	
63	150%		63		84	200%		84	
63	150%		63		84	200%		84	
63	150%		63		84	200%		84	
				[

0					84	200%			84	
0					84	200%			84	
0					84	200%			84	
0					84	200%			84	
10			10		10				10	
18	0	0	18	0	585	170%	0	0	578	7

0

Male	GP
Male	GP
Male	GP
Male	GP
Male	Emergency Overflow

Male Emergency Overflow

Emergency Overflow

Male TC
Male TC
Male DGPR

36

36

36

0


0


Special Purpose Beds	Male	Females	Total
Infirmary Beds			
ESP, Unit 9, reserved	30		30
FMWCC, Unit 11, reserved		11	11
HDSP, Unit 13, reserved	40		40
LCC, Unit 7, reserved	14		14
SDCC, Unit 9, reserved	4		4
Sub total	88	11	99
Hospital			
NNCC, Unit 8, reserved	94		94
Sub total	94	0	94
Total	182	11	193

Special purpose beds are reserved for individuals with special medical or mental health needs. FMWCC added one bed to its infirmary in December 2017.

	Emergency	Overflow	Beds this	Report	
Male Locations	Max	Close	Medium	Min	Total
CCC	0	0	0	0	0
CGTH	0	0	0	0	0
ECC	0	0	0	6	6
ESP	0	0	0	0	0
HCC	0	0	0	0	0
HDSP	0	0	0	0	0
LCC	0	10	60	0	70
NNCC	0	0	0	0	0
NNTH	0	0	0	0	0
PCC	0	0	0	84	84
SCC	0	0	0	120	120
SDCC	0	0	70	0	70
TCC	0	0	0	0	0
TLVCC & TLVBC	0	0	0	0	0
WCC	0	0	0	6	6
WSCC	0	0	18	0	18
Total	0	10	148	216	374
Female Locations	Max	Close	Medium	Min	Total
FMWCC	0	0	0	0	0
JCC	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
	,	Summary			
Male and Female	Max	Close	Medium	Min	Total
Male Beds	0	10	148	216	374
Female Beds	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Totals	0	10	148	216	374

Progression by Custody Level						Adjusted Capacity				
201712-CA-68	Male	Female	Total	201710-CA-67	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Minimum	2,233	312	2,545	Minimum	2,191	360	2,551	42	-48	-6
Medium	6,444	930	7,374	Medium	6,518	930	7,448	-74	0	-74
Close	3,985	157	4,142	Close	3,830	167	3,997	155	-10	145
Maximum	192	-	192	Maximum	192	-	192	0	0	0
Total	12,854	1,399	14,253	Total	12,731	1,457	14,188	123	-58	65





Progression by Capacity Level				
Capacity Level	201712-CA-68	201710-CA-67	Change	
Base Structure*	9,686	9,686	0	
Operating Capacity	11,725	11,773	-48	
Emergency Capacity	13,879	13,856	23	
Emergency Overflow Beds	374	332	42	
Special Purpose Beds	193	192	1	
Total Beds	14,253	14,188	65	

^{*}Base beds except for special housing beds or female beds at NNCC.

Nevada Department of Corrections Housing Designations and Custody Levels				
AH	Austere (Disciplinary Housing, medium custody w/restrictions)	>=100%		
ANCHOR	A New Chance Helping Offenders to Change	>=100%		
ARCH	Addiction Recovery Commitment to Healing	=<100%		
AS	Administrative Segregation	=<100%		
BC	Boot Camp	>=100%		
BMU	Behavioral Modification Unit	=<100%		
CA	Community Assignment Custody Level	>=100%		
CFU	Condemned Female Unit	=<100%		
CLS	Close Custody Level	=<100%		
CLN	Cleaning Crew	>=100%		
CMU	Condemned Men Unit (Max D/S or Ad Segregation)	=<100%		
CUL	Culinary Crew	>=100%		
CYP	Correctional Youth Program	>=100%		
DD	Disciplinary Detention	=<100%		
DEB	Debriefing (medium custody)	>=100%		
DGPR	Dog Pod (puppy training program)	>=100%		
DS	Disciplinary Segregation	=<100%		
ECU	Extended Care Unit (medical, mental care)	>=100%		
GP	General Population	>=100%		
GP-I	General Population, Level I	>=100%		
GP-II	General Population, Level II	>=100%		
GP-III	General Population, Level III	>=100%		
GRND	Grounds Crew	>=100%		
HRP	High Risk Potential	=<100%		
INF	Infirmary	=<100%		
INTK	Intake (initial incarceration admission period of 30 days)	>=100%		
LEAP	Level Excellence in Programming (GP Level I)	>=100%		
MAX	Maximum Custody Level	=<100%		
M/C	Medical Care Unit (intermediate)	=<100%		
MCU	Medical Care Unit (extreme)	=<100%		
MED	Medium Custody Level	>=100%		
MHS	Mental Health Socialization (close custody level IV)	=<100%		
MHSP	Mental Health Special Needs Unit (an extension of ECU)	=<100%		
MHU	Mental Health Unit	<=100%		
MIN	Minimum	>=100%		
MRU	Medical Regional Unit	>=100%		
NDF	Nevada Department of Forestry (fire crews)	>=100%		
OA	OASIS (Therapeutic Substance Abuse Program)	>=100%		
OR	Orientation	>=100%		
PARHU	Parole Housing Unit	>=100%		
PI	Prison Industries	>=100%		
PR	Pre-release (offenders within a year of probable release)	>=100%		
PS	Protective Segregation	>=100%		
RE	Re-entry	>=100%		

	Nevada Department of Corrections			
Housing Designations and Custody Levels				
Abbreviation	Description	Room Occupancy		
RMF	Regional Medical Facility	<=100%		
SCU	Special Care Unit (psychiatric/mental rehabilitation)	>=100%		
SEG	Segregation	=<100%		
STG	Security Threat Group	>=100%		
SGP	Special General Population	>=100%		
SLP	Structured Living Program	>=100%		
SSD	Social Skills Development (general population level II)	=<100%		
SSLP	Senior Structured Living Program	=<100%		
SMTH	Special Management Transitional Housing	>=100%		
SPC	Special Programs: Parolees Housing, Probation Violators,	>=100%		
SS	Stepping Stones	>=100%		
SSDU	Social Skills Development (general population level II)	>100%		
SSLP	Senior Structured Living Program	=<100%		
STARS	Sisters Together Achieving Recovery and Sobriety	>=100%		
STG	Security Threat Group	=<100%		
TC	STARTS, Phoenix, TRUST Therapeutic Communities	>=100%		
PHE	Phoenix Therapeutic Community	>=100%		
TRUST	Team Recovery Under Structured Treatment	>=100%		
VET	Veterans Program	=<100%		
WAA	Walk Alone/Alike (close custody Level IV)	=<100%		

Notes:

Select designations have been discontinued but are listed above for historical purposes.

Nevada Department of Correctio	ns
Definitions of Capacity	
Definition	Room Type
The NDOC utilizes the Nevada Public Works Board bed capacity numbers, as designed, and these numbers do not change over time. This concept assumes that there is one inmate per bed, and capacity utilization is at no more than 100%.	♦Cells. The NDOC follows the definition established by the Public Works Board, which is one inmate per cell or single-occupied cells in a housing area.
	♦ Camps/dorms. Dormitory capacity is established by the Public Works Board during the design and construction phases. Dorm style housing areas are intended to be shared by multiple inmates
This concept assumes that every other cell houses two prisoners, on the average. The outcome is 150% utilization of the cell capacity of a given housing area. However, specialized housing units are excluded from this ratio calculation. Housing areas intended to accommodate special populations, such as segregation, mentally or medically ill, or death row inmates who are single-celled for security and safety.	
This concept assumes that more than every other cell houses two prisoners, on the average. The outcome is more than 150% utilization of the cell capacity of a given housing area. However, specialized housing units are excluded from the base and/or total capacity and from this ratio calculation. Housing areas intended to accommodate special populations, such as segregation, mentally or medically ill, or death row inmates are single-celled for security and safety.	
This concept assumes that more than every other cell houses two prisoners, on the average. The outcome is more than 150% utilization of the cell capacity of a given housing area. However, specialized housing units are excluded from the base and/or total capacity and from this ratio calculation. Housing areas intended to accommodate special populations, such as segregation, mentally or medically ill, or death row inmates are single-celled for security and safety.	
This is the sum of emergency capacity and emergency overflow beds. Total beds divided by base structure is the percentage utilization of space to bed design.	
	Definitions of Capacity Definition The NDOC utilizes the Nevada Public Works Board bed capacity numbers, as designed, and these numbers do not change over time. This concept assumes that there is one inmate per bed, and capacity utilization is at no more than 100%. This concept assumes that every other cell houses two prisoners, on the average. The outcome is 150% utilization of the cell capacity of a given housing area. However, specialized housing units are excluded from this ratio calculation. Housing areas intended to accommodate special populations, such as segregation, mentally or medically ill, or death row inmates who are singlecelled for security and safety. This concept assumes that more than every other cell houses two prisoners, on the average. The outcome is more than 150% utilization of the cell capacity of a given housing area. However, specialized housing units are excluded from the base and/or total capacity and from this ratio calculation. Housing areas intended to accommodate special populations, such as segregation, mentally or medically ill, or death row inmates are single-celled for security and safety. This concept assumes that more than every other cell houses two prisoners, on the average. The outcome is more than 150% utilization of the cell capacity of a given housing area. However, specialized housing units are excluded from the base and/or total capacity and from this ratio calculation. Housing areas intended to accommodate special populations, such as segregation, mentally or medically ill, or death row inmates are single-celled for security and safety. This is the sum of emergency capacity and emergency overflow beds. Total beds divided by base structure is the percentage utilization of